Preliminary Amendment dated: February 13, 2008 Reply to the Office Action of August 14 2007

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 32 are pending in this application.

## The Rejections of the Claims Under Prior Art

1. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,083,248 ("Thompson"), or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being obvious over Thompson.

The Office Action indicates that Thompson discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for explicitly indicating that the parameter is stored in a server, such that the parameter can be downloaded with the aid of an internet browser. The Examiner considers this feature as being obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Independent claims 1 and 17 are amended herein to clarify that the server not only stores said parameters but also patient data. Such patient data may be, for example, the state of the patient over an extended period of time, in particular before the onset of the cardiac anomaly emergency as outlined in the first paragraph of page 7 of the specification. As further outlined in this paragraph this assists the assessment of the patient situation and the decision regarding further treatment steps.

Further patient data which can be stored in the server are data on prior diseases, medications taken and allergies to medications. As outlined in the fourth paragraph of page 7 of the original specification, the addressee of the alarmed signal will be able to accesses important patient data in order to ensure an optimal treatment therapy.

Preliminary Amendment dated: February 13, 2008 Reply to the Office Action of August 14\_2007

Thompson is silent regarding a server which stores these parameters. Further, Thompson

is silent to store in the server patient data, for example, those which have been mentioned above.

If such patient data are also stored in the server the physician has available not only an evaluated

or non-evaluated signal but in addition patient data which allows a much better assessment of the

situation of the patient and which further allows to elect an optimal patient treatment if, for

example, prior diseases and allergies to specific medications etc. are known.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Thompson neither discloses nor suggests

Applicant's invention as claimed. Independent claims 1 and 17 and all claims depending

therefrom are submitted to be allowable. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are

respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons stated above all of the pending claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance, the same being respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted.

Adrian T. Calderone

Reg. No. 31,746

Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, NY 11553

Tel: (516) 228-8484 Fax: (516) 228-8516